AUG-27-2004 14:36	HEALTH CARE FINANC	CE ADM	303	844 277	5 P.06
		1. 7	RANSMITTAL NUMBER:	2.	STATE:
TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE O		0	4 - 0 0	5	HATU
STATE PLAN MATE FOR: HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMIN			PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION SECURITY ACT (MEDICAL		XIX OF THE SOCIAL
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTR		4. F	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE D	ATE	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMA			July 1, 2004		
5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One):					
	AMENDMENT TO BE CO				NDMENT
COMPLETE BLOCKS 6 THE					1ment)
6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITA		- [;	EDERAL BUDGET IMPAC a. FFY 2004	* +6,50	00,000
Section 1902(a) XX(13)(A) of			b. FFY 2005	\$ 177	
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION Attachment 4.19-D 600, (7 paged and 900 (11 pages).		At	PAGE NUMBER OF THE SI OR ATTACHMENT (If Application of the Control	c able): 0 (2 pag	–
10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT: NUE 11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One):	RSING FACILITY PAY	MENTS			
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFI NO REPLYRECEIVED WITHIN 45 D	FICE ENCLOSED		OTHER, AS SPECIFIED:		
12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICE	,	16. RETU			
12 DOES NOWE			D. Williams, MD, M ive Director	.Y.H.	
13. TYPED NAME: Scott D. Williams, MD, M.P.H.		Utah D	epartment of Health	h	٠
14. TILE:			ox 143102	. 2100	
Executive Director, Utah Depart 15. DATE SUBMITTED:	ment of Health.	Salt L	ake City, UT 8411	4-3102	
August 25, 2004	FOR RESIDEAL O	FFICE I	ICE ONLY	**************************************	
17. DATE RECEIVED: AUG 2 6 2004			ATE ADDOOMED.	C 2 1 20)04
	PLAN APPROVED - OI				
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MA		20.8	IGNATURE OF REGIONA	IT OFFICE	KL)
21. TYPED NAME: (armer Kell	10-	22. T	The Dead	Dice	CTUR CMSO
23. REMARKS:		<u> </u>	a) riping		(10,0)
				general Kaji muning	

600 PROPERTY

600 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Section is to explain the calculation of the property component of the Nursing care facility reimbursement rate. The property policies discussed in the following Sections 630, 631, 632, and 633 remain in effect until September 15, 2004. **After that date**, the property component will be calculated using a Fair rental Value methodology as discussed in Section 634.

630 PROPERTY FACTORS

Due to the wide variations in property costs and the financial commitments of providers, a portion of the March 27, 1981, property costs are recognized in addition to the base rate. The variation in property costs is recognized in two ways: (1) based on the property costs on March 27, 1981, as incorporated into the July 1, 1981, rates, and (2) new construction as defined in Sections 631, 632 and 633. Except for new construction, the rate differentials for FY 1994 and subsequent years are the same as the rate differential established on July 1, 1991, when rates were rebased and additional property was added to the base rate. There have been no increases for buying, selling, refinancing, new leases or lease escalation clauses since March 27, 1981. Rather, increases in property costs are recognized by inflating the base rate each year. The inflation index is described in Section 900 and is the basis for negotiating the annual inflation adjustments to nursing facility rates. Therefore, the State does not adopt the Medicare approach of recapturing depreciation.

631 EXCEPTION FOR PROPERTY DIFFERENTIAL

When a nursing facility operated by a State or local government is sold, a property differential will be available for meeting life safety requirements that were previously waived by the State. The differential is based on the annual depreciation calculated for the remodeling. Such depreciation will be determined in accordance with the Provider Reimbursement Manual HCFA-Pub. 15-1. In calculating a per diem cost, a 90% occupancy factor will be used. The property differential will be added to the rate in the month following submission of invoices and other requested records to support the expenditure for such property improvements.

632 NEW CONSTRUCTION DEFINED

New construction is limited to either a new building or a new wing to an existing building. It does not include modifying or refurbishing an existing structure.

T.N. #04-05	Approval Date	2 1 2004
Supersedes T.N. # 95-12	Effective Date 7-1-	04

633 NEW CONSTRUCTION PROPERTY DIFFERENTIAL

The property differential for new construction and related property costs will be the lesser of:

- 1. Actual property costs in excess of the property cost included in the base rate. The property cost in the base rate is calculated at \$8.95 per day for the period beginning July 1, 1995. This figure was inflated forward to \$11.19 for Fiscal Year 2003.
- The simple average property differential of all nursing facilities that have a property differential. This is the new property allowance.

634 FAIR RENTAL VALUE FOR PROPERTY

Effective September 15, 2004, property costs will be calculated and reimbursed as a component of the facility rate based on a Fair Rental Value (FRV) System.

- (a) Under this FRV system, the Department reimburses a facility based on the estimated current value of its capital assets in lieu of direct reimbursement for depreciation, amortization, interest, and rent or lease expenses. The FRV system establishes a nursing facility's bed value based on the age of the facility and total square footage.
- (i) The initial age of each nursing facility used in the FRV calculation is determined as of September 15, 2004, using each facility's year of construction.
- (ii) The age of each facility is adjusted each July 1 to make the facility one year older.
- (iii) The age is reduced for replacements, major renovations, or additions placed into service since the facility was built, provided there is sufficient documentation to support the historical changes.
 - (A) If a facility adds new beds, these new beds are averaged into the age of the original beds to arrive at the facility's age.
 - (B) If a facility completed a major renovation (defined as a project with capitalized cost equal to or greater than \$500 per bed) or replacement project, the cost of the project is represented by an equivalent number of new beds

		DFC 2 1	2004
T.N. # 04-05		Approval Date	
Supersedes T.N. #	02-015	Effective Date7-1-04	:

600 PROPERTY (Continued)

- (I) The renovation or replacement project must have been completed during a 24-month period and reported on the FCP (due March 31st) for the calendar year prior to a July 1 rate year and be related to the reasonable functioning of the nursing facility. Renovations unrelated to either the direct or indirect functioning of the nursing facility shall not be used to adjust the facility's
- (II) The equivalent number of new beds is determined by dividing the cost of the project by the accumulated depreciation per bed of the facility's existing beds immediately before the project.
- (III) The equivalent number of new beds is then subtracted from the total actual beds. The result is multiplied by the difference in the year of the completion of the project and the age of the facility, which age is based on the initial construction year or the last reconstruction or renovation project. The product is then divided by the actual number of beds to arrive at the number of years to reduce the age of the facility.
- (b) A nursing facility's fair rental value per diem is calculated as follows:

As used in this subsection (b), "capital index" is the percent change in the nursing home "Per bed or person, total cost" row and "3/4" column as found in the two most recent annual R.S. Means Building Construction Cost Data as adjusted by the weighted average total city cost index for Salt Lake City, Utah.

(i) The buildings and fixtures value per licensed bed is \$50,000, which is based upon a standard facility size of at least 450 square feet determined using the R.S. Means Building Construction Cost Data adjusted by the weighted average total city cost index for Salt Lake City, Utah. To this \$50,000 is added 10% (\$5,000) for land and 10% (\$5,000) for movable equipment. Each nursing facility's total licensed beds are multiplied by this amount to arrive at the "total bed value." The total bed value is trended forward by multiplying it by the capital index and adding it to the total bed value to arrive at the "newly calculated total bed value." The newly calculated total bed value is depreciated, except for the portion related to land, at 1.50 percent per year according to the weighted age of the facility. The maximum age of a nursing facility shall be 35 years. There shall be no recapture of depreciation.

DEC 2 1 2004

600 PROPERTY (Continued)

- (ii) A nursing facility's annual FRV is calculated by multiplying the facility's newly calculated bed value times a rental factor. The rental factor is the sum of the 20-year Treasury Bond Rate as published in the Federal Reserve Bulletin using the average for the calendar year preceding the rate year and a risk value of 3 percent. Regardless of the result produced in this subsection (ii), the rental factor shall not be less than 9 percent or more than 12 percent.
- (iii) the facility's annual FRV is divided by the greater of:
 - (A) the facility's annualized actual resident days during the cost reporting period; and
 - (B) 75 percent of the annualized operational bed capacity of the facility.
- (iv) The FRV per diem determined under this fair rental value system shall be no lower than \$8 per patient day.
- (v) The FRV per diem determined under this fair rental value system shall be phased-in using a hold-harmless method over a one-year period, as follows:
- (A) Nursing facility property rates are calculated under the fair rental value system and compared to rates in effect on July 2, 2004.
- (B) If the fair rental value system property rate is less than the nursing facility's July 2, 2004 rate, the nursing facility's rate is adjusted to additionally pay the nursing facility the difference between the September 15, 2004 rate and the July 2, 2004 rate, but not to exceed \$5 per patient day; and
- (C) the hold harmless method expires on June 30, 2005.
- (c) A pass-through component of the rate is applied and is calculated as follows:
- (i) As used in this subsection (c), "property tax and property insurance index" is the percent change in the combined property tax and property insurance costs reported by the facility on its two most recent FCPs.
- (ii) For a newly constructed facility that has not made two FCP reports, the property tax and property insurance index is the average percent change in the combined property tax and property insurance costs reported by all facilities on their two most recent FCPs.

T.N. #04-05	DEC 2 1 2004 Approval Date
Supersedes T.N. #02-015	Effective Date

Effective Date _____7-1-04

600 PROPERTY (Continued)

- (iii) The property tax and property insurance pass-through is trended forward by multiplying it by the property tax and property insurance index and adding it to the combined property tax and property insurance costs as reported on the most recent FCP to arrive at the pass-through amount.
- (iv) The nursing facility's per diem property tax and property insurance cost is determined by dividing the facility's pass-through amount by the facility's actual total patient days.
- (v) The average property rate under the current methodology is \$13.81 per day. The average property rate under the proposed fair rental value methodology is \$14.80 per day. The current property methodology does not include a separate property tax and insurance part of the property component of the rate. The new average daily capital component is \$14.80 = \$13.52 fair rental value + \$1.28 property tax and insurance.

			DEC 2.1	2004
T.N. # _ 04-05		Appro	DEC 2 1 oval Date	2004
Supersedes T.N. # _	02-015	Effective Date	7-1-04	

ATTACHMENT 4.19-D

600 PROPERTY (Continued)

Age Calculation Illustration For Bed Ad	ditions Pro	lects
Example Facility	4000	(4)
Year of Construction: (Base Year)	1960	
Initial Beds Current Licensed Beds		(B) (C
Example of Bed Addition Calculation		
Number of Beds Added	20	(D)
Year of Addition	1975	(E)
Calculation of Weighted Average Age of beds		
Initial Construction Beds	25	(8)
Year of Replacement (1975) minus (year of		
Construction (1960)		Age (year: (E-A)
	375	(F)=(B)*[(E-A)]
Weighted Average Age of Beds	8 33	(G)=(F)/(B)+(D)
New Base Year	1967	(E)-(G)
Age Calculation Illustration For Bed Repl	acement P	ojects
Example Facility		
Year of Construction: (Base Year)	1969	(A)
Current Licensed Beds	4 5	(B)
Example of Bed Replacement Calculation		
Number of Beds Replaced	15	(c
Year of Replacement	1995	(D)
Difference in Years	26	(E)
Calulation of Weighted Average Age of beds		
Initial Construction Beds		(B)
Year of Replacement (1995) minus Base year (1969)		Age (years) (F)=(B-(c)*[(D)-(A)]
Weighted Average Age of Beds	17.33	(G)=(F)/(B)
New Base Year	1978	(D)-(G)

T.N. #	04-05	Approval Date _	DEC 2 1	2004
Supersedes T.N. #	New	Effective Date	7-1-04	

ATTACHMENT 4, 19-D

600 PROPERTY (Continued)

Age Calculation Illustration For Renovation Projects

Assumptions:

Year of Construction: 1964 Year of Addition 1992 Cost of Addition: \$300,093

Rental Value in Year of Addition per Bed: \$36,655

Depreciation Rate. 1.5%

Current Rental Value per Bed \$55,000

Rental Rate: 9%

Original Construction Beds. 52

Current Year: 2004

Calculation:

Year of Addition (1992) minus Year of Construction (1964)

Rental Value in Year of Addition

Depreciation Rate

Accumulated Depreciation per bed (A)*(B)*(C

Cost of Addition Accum Depreciation per Bert

Bed Equivalent for Addition

Year of Addition

Original Construction Beds Bed Equivalent for addition

Year of Addition (1992) minus Year of Construction (1964)

Original Construction Beds 52 Weighted Average Age of beds New Base Year (Year of Construction)

Current Year New Base Year Adjusted Age of Facility

Rental Value:

Current Rental Value per bed Original Beds Total Rental Value

Accumulated Depreciation: Current Rental Value per Bed Original Beds Depreciation Fale

Adjusted Age (in Years) Accumulated Depreciation

Net Rental Value Rental Rate 9% Rental Amount

28 (A)

36,655 (B) 1.50% (C

15,395 (A)*(B)*(C

\$300,093 (D)

15,395 (E)

19 49 (DY(E)

1992 (F)

52 (G) (19 49) (H)

32 51 (I)=(G)-(H 28 (A) 910.20 (J)=(I)*(A)

52 (K)

17.50 (L)=(J)/(K) 1974 (M)=(F)-(L)

2004 (N) 1974 (M) 30 (O)=(N)-(M)

\$55,000 (P) 52 (G)

\$2,860,000 R)=(P)*(G)

\$55,000 (P) 52 (G) 0.015 (C 30 (O)

\$1,287,000 (U)=(P)*(G)*C)*(O)

\$1,573,000 (V)=R)-(U) 0 09 (W) \$141,570 (X)=(V)*(W)

.N.	#	04-05

700 PAYMENT TO PROVIDERS

710 INTRODUCTION

Payments for routine nursing facility services will be made monthly. These payments will be based on the established rate.

720 WITHHOLDING PAYMENTS

In order to assure compliance with selected policy and to assure collection of outstanding obligations, the State may withhold payment for the following reasons:

Shortages in Patient Trust Accounts

Upon written notification that an examination of a patient trust fund account revealed an irreconcilable shortage, the facility must make a cash deposit in the full amount of the shortage within 10 days of notification. Within 30 days of such notification, documentary evidence must be presented to the Division of Health Care Financing attesting to this deposit. Failure to comply with this requirement will result in the withholding of the Title XIX payments. The cash transaction to transfer cash to the patient's account is not an allowable cost.

2. Failure to Submit Timely FCPs

Reporting period requirements are specified in Section 332 title "Reporting". If the provider fails to meet these requirements, the State may withhold payment until such time as an acceptable FCP is filed. FCPs must be complete before they are considered filed.

3. Liabilities to the State

When the State has established an overpayment, payments to the provider may be withheld. However, if the provider is an ongoing operation and if the provider can demonstrate serious cash flow problems, the State may accept a repayment schedule signed by the provider. Normally, this repayment schedule should not exceed 90 days. For ongoing operations, the State will provide 30-day notification before holding payments. This 30-day period is to give the provider time to appeal the appropriateness of the overpayment determination. The State may waive the 30-day notification period if there is cause to believe the delay will unduly jeopardize the collection.

730 LIMITATIONS ON PAYMENT

Payments will not exceed the upper limit for specific services as defined in 42 CFR 447.272.

T.N. #04-05	Approval Bate	2 1	2004
Supersedes T.N. # 93-28	Effective Date7-1-04		

800 APPEALS

81	ſ) 1	RA	TF	ח	ISA	GR	EE	MF	N	ITS
		, ,	~	`'			\sim 1		1716	. 1 7	

Providers may challenge the payment rate established pursuant to Section 900 using the Administrative Hearing Procedures as contained in Administrative Rules (R410-14). Providers must exhaust administrative remedies before challenging rates in State or Federal Court

DEC 2 1 2004

T.N. # _____04-05

Approval Date

900 RATE SETTING FOR NFs

900 GENERAL INFORMATION

Rate setting is completed by the Division of Health Care Financing (DHCF). Cost and utilization data is evaluated from facility cost profiles. The annual Medicaid budget requests include inflation factors for nursing facilities based on the Producer Price Index published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. The actual inflation will be established by the Utah State Legislature based on economic trends and conditions. Consideration will be given to the inflation adjustments given in prior years relative to the Producer Price Index.

920 RATE SETTING

Effective July 2, 2004, the base line per diem rate for all patients in the facility consists of: 1) a RUGs component, 2) a fixed rate component, and (3) a property component. The components are based on historical costs reported on facility cost profiles (cost reports). Historical costs are used for the flat rate and RUGs components of the rate. Changes have been made as to the cost centers that make up these two components as discussed in sections 921 and 922. The 50th percentile is used as a baseline for reasonable costs for the flat rate component. This component has been inflated by 6% (for 24 months) since the current rate was established. The RUGs component is based on historical costs but at the 96th percentile which results in a significant increase in this component aimed at increasing nursing expenditures and thereby enhancing the quality of care in nursing facilities.

The historical cost calculation, although utilizing the facility cost profiles, will be adjusted to account for certain "add on" payments including, intensive skilled payment enhancements, specialized rehabilitation services (SRS) payment enhancements, behaviorally challenging payment enhancements and any other enhancement payments that Medicaid may initiate in the future to enhance the quality of care in nursing care facilities.

Effective September 15, 2004, the property component of the per diem rate will be calculated using a Fair Rental Value (FRV) methodology instead of historical costs. This methodology is discussed in detail in Section 634.

		DEC 2 1 2	004
T.N. # <u>04-05</u>		Approval Date	
Supersedes T.N. # _	02-015	Effective Date7-1-04	